

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/560,064	04/27/2000	Ruth Elinor Bauhahn	11738.86893	2481
22908	7590 11/18/2003		EXAM	INER
BANNER &	WITCOFF, LTD.	BOCKELMAN, MARK		
TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 3000 CHICAGO, I	CHICAGO, IL 60606		3762	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Application No.	
Office Action Symmony	09/560,064	BAUHAHN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Mark W Bockelman	3762
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	In the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by str.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	2 August 2003.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	wance except for formal matte er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	o;	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of:  2. Certified copies of the priority document of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of:  3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a specific reference was included in the original of the foreign language of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the foreign language of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the foreign language of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the foreign language of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the foreign language of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the foreign language of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the priority document is made of a claim for domiting of the priority document is made of a claim for doc	tents have been received. Lents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). List of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C. of first sentence of the specific provisional application has beestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/560,064

Art Unit: 3762

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-9, drawn to a method of programming an implantable device, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

 Claims 10-39, drawn to a implantable device and programmer, classified in class 607, subclass 59.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method does not require the use of a telemetry block as recited in each of the device claims.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 09/560,064

Art Unit: 3762

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W Bockelman whose telephone number is (703)-308-2112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10-8:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0858.

MWB November 14, 2003